

MURRAY'S BOSTON STORE

WILLIMANTIC, CONN.

LEATHER ARTICLES For MEN And WOMEN POCKET BOOKS HAND BAGS SUITS CASES

Our new stock of Pocketbooks presents a splendid selection for the purchaser's individual use; there is a delightful assortment of new things. Full Fold, Specie Purse, and Change Purse.

Change Purse at 10c and 25c each.
Specie Purse, made of genuine Deer Skin in gray or tan, 25c each.
Ladies' Purse, with hand strap, in brown, black and alligator, 50c.
Ladies' Black Purse, with hand strap, at 75c, \$1.00 and \$1.50; in tan, \$1.00.
Full Fold 25c, 50c and \$1.00.

Hand Bags

Handsome and serviceable Leather Hand Bags for women and girls who like to be in line with the newest and best. The most approved patterns are here. Prices from \$2.00 up to \$7.50.

In addition to our regular stock there is a special offering during this month of May. A fine selection of good quality leather, moiré or leather lined, fitted with coin purse, good values at \$1.50. Sale price \$1.00 each.

Suit Cases

Draw Suit Cases made of heavy Cowhide, with inside pocket, all lined, lined, two strong straps, size 24, \$5.00 to \$7.50.

The H. C. Murray Co.
Ladies' Home Journal Quarterly Book now for sale—20c.

Times

Are a Trifle Hard,
But Cheer Up, for You Can
Get Money by calling at the

**Willimantic
Loan Company,**
15 Union Street.

Terms strictly confidential.

HARRIS & SHEPARD,
(Successors to Goodwin & Bennett)
Embalmers and Funeral Directors,
60-62 North Street,
LAST ASSISTANCE.
Telephone 400-0000.

Don't Be Alarmed

We would make it known
to the Public that we have
not joined any organization
working against S&B Green
Trading Stamps, and will
continue to give Special
Extra Stamps as we have
done in the past.

The T. R. Sadd Co.
WILLIMANTIC, CONN.

"King of the Clothing Business"
Mechanics' Dep't. Store
OUTFITTERS TO ALL

JAMES HARRIS
Post Card Shop
601 Main Street, Willimantic.

1911 Overland Models
ERNEST P. CHERRIO, 1025 Main St.,
WILLIMANTIC, CONN.

HIRAN N. FENN,
UNDERWEAR and REPAIRS
60 Church St., Willimantic, Ct.
Telephone 400-0000. Ladies' Assistant.

Willimantic Times

WILLIMANTIC

What is Going On Tonight.
The Willimantic public library has recently received a gift of a box of books from the private library of Miss Harriet Brainerd of Hartford. Miss Brainerd, a former resident of Willimantic, was one of the leading members of the Bee Hive society that was the founder of the original library association. At the time the society went out of existence the books were turned over to the borough of Willimantic and afterward accepted by the city, being the foundation of the present good library.

Gift of Books from Miss Brainerd.
The Willimantic public library has recently received a gift of a box of books from the private library of Miss Harriet Brainerd of Hartford. Miss Brainerd, a former resident of Willimantic, was one of the leading members of the Bee Hive society that was the founder of the original library association. At the time the society went out of existence the books were turned over to the borough of Willimantic and afterward accepted by the city, being the foundation of the present good library.

Violated Liquor Laws—Three Separate Counts Against John McQuillan—Heavy Fines Imposed—Criminal Appeal.
Saturday morning the local court room was crowded by people interested in the arraignment of John McQuillan, charged with violating the liquor laws, on three separate counts, and also with attempting to bribe the state's witness, on the same afternoon. Attorney Thomas J. Kelley, who represented McQuillan, entered a demurrer to all charges, but was overruled by Judge William A. Arnold, who found the accused guilty.

On each of the counts, of selling liquor on Sunday a fine of \$50 and costs was imposed, and on the count of selling a witness a fine of \$100 was imposed. Attorney Kelley took an appeal to the superior court, and bonds of \$100 were fixed for the count of selling liquor, and of \$300 for bribing a witness. It found guilty in the superior court, the case will probably mean the forfeiture of Mr. McQuillan's license, and the state's witness, as it was alleged in the complaint that McQuillan had been previously convicted of violating the liquor law on May 26, 1902.

Attorney Kelley waived the reading of the complaints on the violation of the liquor law, which alleged two separate sales of a pint of whiskey on Sunday afternoon, Feb. 26, to one Wassel Gemborski, and a sale of a pint of whiskey on the same afternoon to one Max Tyniak, and at once entered a demurrer. In the demurrer he took exception to the second count of the complaint, alleging that it was identical with the first count and no other. He also took exception to the part of the complaint alleging subsequent conviction on May 26, 1902, saying that it was made before the passage of the statute law providing for subsequent convictions and previous to this license year, and therefore should not apply.

Claimed Only One Count.
Attorney Kelley claimed that only one count should prevail, as the complaint set up two separate offenses, whereas there was only one. In regard to the second exception, he said that there was no objection with regard to a subsequent conviction. In July, 1902, the law was passed, and what was not an offense in 1902 could hardly be made an offense in 1903, as the statute could not be made retrospective and therefore should not apply to the present case. Secondly, there should be restrictions of some sort as to subsequent convictions, there should be a limitation of time as to the effect of subsequent convictions. The statute in providing for a suitable person to be granted a liquor license provides that the license must not be granted to a person who has been convicted of a violation within a year previous to the time he applied for the license. Surely, then, a subsequent conviction could only apply to the license year of the year before, therefore he could not see how the court could do other than recommend a non-conviction of the license.

Judge Arnold inquired of Mr. Kelley if, according to his argument, a man could not violate the law every two years and still be eligible for a license. Mr. Kelley explained that he was simply giving the requirements of the statute, a suitable person to be granted a license.

Not Put to Plea.
As the object of the demurrer was to take the case to the higher court, Mr. McQuillan was not put to plea, and Mr. Gemborski simply explained the case, saying that a young man went to the saloon and bought a pint of whiskey from Mr. McQuillan, and that later, about 4 o'clock, the two of them again went to the saloon and each bought a pint of whiskey from Mr. McQuillan. Regarding the penalty, he realized that the court would impose a proper penalty, but the prosecuting agent was of the opinion that a stiff penalty should be imposed.

Attorney Kelley urged the leniency of the court. He pointed out that it was nearly nine years since Mr. McQuillan had been in some particular during the year, although he might not have been before the court. He therefore felt that the fine should not be a severe one.

Had Had Many Complaints.
Judge Arnold inquired of Prosecuting Agent Addison J. Greenleaf as to whether he had had many complaints before this one concerning Mr. McQuillan, and he replied that he had many every year. He said that the court was taking into consideration these statements, and Mr. Greenleaf asked permission to say a few words. The court granted and he spoke of the number of complaints he received since 1902. Any impairment by the court would be satisfactory to him, but he thought that the crime of selling liquor to young men hardly 21 years of age, should be a crime, and he was allowed to sell on the other six days of the week, was one that did not merit a light fine.

Fines and Costs About \$200.
Judge Arnold, after imposing the penalty the court had taken into consideration that Mr. McQuillan's bond and license would be forfeited if he was convicted of a crime in the superior court, and therefore he imposed a fine of \$50 and costs on each count. This amounted to about \$200. Attorney Kelley gave notice of an appeal to the superior court and bonds were fixed at \$100. Attorney Gemborski called attention to the fact that Mr. McQuillan had already furnished a bond to the court, and that he would probably be in court.

Alleged Bribing of Witness.
The second complaint against Mr. McQuillan, alleging that he attempted to bribe a witness to wit, Wassel Gemborski, was then taken up. Attorney Kelley entered a formal demurrer which was overruled by the court.

Judge Arnold imposed a fine of \$100 and costs and Mr. Kelley gave notice of an appeal to the superior court. Bonds were fixed at \$300 in the case. It is understood that McQuillan met Gemborski and gave him \$50 to go out of town and that he did so for a short time.

Dr. J. G. JACKSON—Dentist
Painless Extracting and
Filling a Specialty
20 Main Street, Willimantic
Telephone 400-0000.

A nice variety of Fresh Fish; also
scallops, Oysters and Clams, etc.
STROUD'S FISH MARKET, 20 North St.

WILLIMANTIC

What is Going On Tonight.
The Willimantic public library has recently received a gift of a box of books from the private library of Miss Harriet Brainerd of Hartford. Miss Brainerd, a former resident of Willimantic, was one of the leading members of the Bee Hive society that was the founder of the original library association. At the time the society went out of existence the books were turned over to the borough of Willimantic and afterward accepted by the city, being the foundation of the present good library.

Gift of Books from Miss Brainerd.
The Willimantic public library has recently received a gift of a box of books from the private library of Miss Harriet Brainerd of Hartford. Miss Brainerd, a former resident of Willimantic, was one of the leading members of the Bee Hive society that was the founder of the original library association. At the time the society went out of existence the books were turned over to the borough of Willimantic and afterward accepted by the city, being the foundation of the present good library.

Violated Liquor Laws—Three Separate Counts Against John McQuillan—Heavy Fines Imposed—Criminal Appeal.
Saturday morning the local court room was crowded by people interested in the arraignment of John McQuillan, charged with violating the liquor laws, on three separate counts, and also with attempting to bribe the state's witness, on the same afternoon. Attorney Thomas J. Kelley, who represented McQuillan, entered a demurrer to all charges, but was overruled by Judge William A. Arnold, who found the accused guilty.

On each of the counts, of selling liquor on Sunday a fine of \$50 and costs was imposed, and on the count of selling a witness a fine of \$100 was imposed. Attorney Kelley took an appeal to the superior court, and bonds of \$100 were fixed for the count of selling liquor, and of \$300 for bribing a witness. It found guilty in the superior court, the case will probably mean the forfeiture of Mr. McQuillan's license, and the state's witness, as it was alleged in the complaint that McQuillan had been previously convicted of violating the liquor law on May 26, 1902.

Attorney Kelley waived the reading of the complaints on the violation of the liquor law, which alleged two separate sales of a pint of whiskey on Sunday afternoon, Feb. 26, to one Wassel Gemborski, and a sale of a pint of whiskey on the same afternoon to one Max Tyniak, and at once entered a demurrer. In the demurrer he took exception to the second count of the complaint, alleging that it was identical with the first count and no other. He also took exception to the part of the complaint alleging subsequent conviction on May 26, 1902, saying that it was made before the passage of the statute law providing for subsequent convictions and previous to this license year, and therefore should not apply.

Claimed Only One Count.
Attorney Kelley claimed that only one count should prevail, as the complaint set up two separate offenses, whereas there was only one. In regard to the second exception, he said that there was no objection with regard to a subsequent conviction. In July, 1902, the law was passed, and what was not an offense in 1902 could hardly be made an offense in 1903, as the statute could not be made retrospective and therefore should not apply to the present case. Secondly, there should be restrictions of some sort as to subsequent convictions, there should be a limitation of time as to the effect of subsequent convictions. The statute in providing for a suitable person to be granted a liquor license provides that the license must not be granted to a person who has been convicted of a violation within a year previous to the time he applied for the license. Surely, then, a subsequent conviction could only apply to the license year of the year before, therefore he could not see how the court could do other than recommend a non-conviction of the license.

Judge Arnold inquired of Mr. Kelley if, according to his argument, a man could not violate the law every two years and still be eligible for a license. Mr. Kelley explained that he was simply giving the requirements of the statute, a suitable person to be granted a license.

Not Put to Plea.
As the object of the demurrer was to take the case to the higher court, Mr. McQuillan was not put to plea, and Mr. Gemborski simply explained the case, saying that a young man went to the saloon and bought a pint of whiskey from Mr. McQuillan, and that later, about 4 o'clock, the two of them again went to the saloon and each bought a pint of whiskey from Mr. McQuillan. Regarding the penalty, he realized that the court would impose a proper penalty, but the prosecuting agent was of the opinion that a stiff penalty should be imposed.

Attorney Kelley urged the leniency of the court. He pointed out that it was nearly nine years since Mr. McQuillan had been in some particular during the year, although he might not have been before the court. He therefore felt that the fine should not be a severe one.

Had Had Many Complaints.
Judge Arnold inquired of Prosecuting Agent Addison J. Greenleaf as to whether he had had many complaints before this one concerning Mr. McQuillan, and he replied that he had many every year. He said that the court was taking into consideration these statements, and Mr. Greenleaf asked permission to say a few words. The court granted and he spoke of the number of complaints he received since 1902. Any impairment by the court would be satisfactory to him, but he thought that the crime of selling liquor to young men hardly 21 years of age, should be a crime, and he was allowed to sell on the other six days of the week, was one that did not merit a light fine.

Fines and Costs About \$200.
Judge Arnold, after imposing the penalty the court had taken into consideration that Mr. McQuillan's bond and license would be forfeited if he was convicted of a crime in the superior court, and therefore he imposed a fine of \$50 and costs on each count. This amounted to about \$200. Attorney Kelley gave notice of an appeal to the superior court and bonds were fixed at \$100. Attorney Gemborski called attention to the fact that Mr. McQuillan had already furnished a bond to the court, and that he would probably be in court.

Alleged Bribing of Witness.
The second complaint against Mr. McQuillan, alleging that he attempted to bribe a witness to wit, Wassel Gemborski, was then taken up. Attorney Kelley entered a formal demurrer which was overruled by the court.

Judge Arnold imposed a fine of \$100 and costs and Mr. Kelley gave notice of an appeal to the superior court. Bonds were fixed at \$300 in the case. It is understood that McQuillan met Gemborski and gave him \$50 to go out of town and that he did so for a short time.

Dr. J. G. JACKSON—Dentist
Painless Extracting and
Filling a Specialty
20 Main Street, Willimantic
Telephone 400-0000.

A nice variety of Fresh Fish; also
scallops, Oysters and Clams, etc.
STROUD'S FISH MARKET, 20 North St.

WILLIMANTIC

What is Going On Tonight.
The Willimantic public library has recently received a gift of a box of books from the private library of Miss Harriet Brainerd of Hartford. Miss Brainerd, a former resident of Willimantic, was one of the leading members of the Bee Hive society that was the founder of the original library association. At the time the society went out of existence the books were turned over to the borough of Willimantic and afterward accepted by the city, being the foundation of the present good library.

Gift of Books from Miss Brainerd.
The Willimantic public library has recently received a gift of a box of books from the private library of Miss Harriet Brainerd of Hartford. Miss Brainerd, a former resident of Willimantic, was one of the leading members of the Bee Hive society that was the founder of the original library association. At the time the society went out of existence the books were turned over to the borough of Willimantic and afterward accepted by the city, being the foundation of the present good library.

Violated Liquor Laws—Three Separate Counts Against John McQuillan—Heavy Fines Imposed—Criminal Appeal.
Saturday morning the local court room was crowded by people interested in the arraignment of John McQuillan, charged with violating the liquor laws, on three separate counts, and also with attempting to bribe the state's witness, on the same afternoon. Attorney Thomas J. Kelley, who represented McQuillan, entered a demurrer to all charges, but was overruled by Judge William A. Arnold, who found the accused guilty.

On each of the counts, of selling liquor on Sunday a fine of \$50 and costs was imposed, and on the count of selling a witness a fine of \$100 was imposed. Attorney Kelley took an appeal to the superior court, and bonds of \$100 were fixed for the count of selling liquor, and of \$300 for bribing a witness. It found guilty in the superior court, the case will probably mean the forfeiture of Mr. McQuillan's license, and the state's witness, as it was alleged in the complaint that McQuillan had been previously convicted of violating the liquor law on May 26, 1902.

Attorney Kelley waived the reading of the complaints on the violation of the liquor law, which alleged two separate sales of a pint of whiskey on Sunday afternoon, Feb. 26, to one Wassel Gemborski, and a sale of a pint of whiskey on the same afternoon to one Max Tyniak, and at once entered a demurrer. In the demurrer he took exception to the second count of the complaint, alleging that it was identical with the first count and no other. He also took exception to the part of the complaint alleging subsequent conviction on May 26, 1902, saying that it was made before the passage of the statute law providing for subsequent convictions and previous to this license year, and therefore should not apply.

Claimed Only One Count.
Attorney Kelley claimed that only one count should prevail, as the complaint set up two separate offenses, whereas there was only one. In regard to the second exception, he said that there was no objection with regard to a subsequent conviction. In July, 1902, the law was passed, and what was not an offense in 1902 could hardly be made an offense in 1903, as the statute could not be made retrospective and therefore should not apply to the present case. Secondly, there should be restrictions of some sort as to subsequent convictions, there should be a limitation of time as to the effect of subsequent convictions. The statute in providing for a suitable person to be granted a liquor license provides that the license must not be granted to a person who has been convicted of a violation within a year previous to the time he applied for the license. Surely, then, a subsequent conviction could only apply to the license year of the year before, therefore he could not see how the court could do other than recommend a non-conviction of the license.

Judge Arnold inquired of Mr. Kelley if, according to his argument, a man could not violate the law every two years and still be eligible for a license. Mr. Kelley explained that he was simply giving the requirements of the statute, a suitable person to be granted a license.

Not Put to Plea.
As the object of the demurrer was to take the case to the higher court, Mr. McQuillan was not put to plea, and Mr. Gemborski simply explained the case, saying that a young man went to the saloon and bought a pint of whiskey from Mr. McQuillan, and that later, about 4 o'clock, the two of them again went to the saloon and each bought a pint of whiskey from Mr. McQuillan. Regarding the penalty, he realized that the court would impose a proper penalty, but the prosecuting agent was of the opinion that a stiff penalty should be imposed.

Attorney Kelley urged the leniency of the court. He pointed out that it was nearly nine years since Mr. McQuillan had been in some particular during the year, although he might not have been before the court. He therefore felt that the fine should not be a severe one.

Had Had Many Complaints.
Judge Arnold inquired of Prosecuting Agent Addison J. Greenleaf as to whether he had had many complaints before this one concerning Mr. McQuillan, and he replied that he had many every year. He said that the court was taking into consideration these statements, and Mr. Greenleaf asked permission to say a few words. The court granted and he spoke of the number of complaints he received since 1902. Any impairment by the court would be satisfactory to him, but he thought that the crime of selling liquor to young men hardly 21 years of age, should be a crime, and he was allowed to sell on the other six days of the week, was one that did not merit a light fine.

Fines and Costs About \$200.
Judge Arnold, after imposing the penalty the court had taken into consideration that Mr. McQuillan's bond and license would be forfeited if he was convicted of a crime in the superior court, and therefore he imposed a fine of \$50 and costs on each count. This amounted to about \$200. Attorney Kelley gave notice of an appeal to the superior court and bonds were fixed at \$100. Attorney Gemborski called attention to the fact that Mr. McQuillan had already furnished a bond to the court, and that he would probably be in court.

Alleged Bribing of Witness.
The second complaint against Mr. McQuillan, alleging that he attempted to bribe a witness to wit, Wassel Gemborski, was then taken up. Attorney Kelley entered a formal demurrer which was overruled by the court.

Judge Arnold imposed a fine of \$100 and costs and Mr. Kelley gave notice of an appeal to the superior court. Bonds were fixed at \$300 in the case. It is understood that McQuillan met Gemborski and gave him \$50 to go out of town and that he did so for a short time.

Dr. J. G. JACKSON—Dentist
Painless Extracting and
Filling a Specialty
20 Main Street, Willimantic
Telephone 400-0000.

A nice variety of Fresh Fish; also
scallops, Oysters and Clams, etc.
STROUD'S FISH MARKET, 20 North St.

WILLIMANTIC

What is Going On Tonight.
The Willimantic public library has recently received a gift of a box of books from the private library of Miss Harriet Brainerd of Hartford. Miss Brainerd, a former resident of Willimantic, was one of the leading members of the Bee Hive society that was the founder of the original library association. At the time the society went out of existence the books were turned over to the borough of Willimantic and afterward accepted by the city, being the foundation of the present good library.

Gift of Books from Miss Brainerd.
The Willimantic public library has recently received a gift of a box of books from the private library of Miss Harriet Brainerd of Hartford. Miss Brainerd, a former resident of Willimantic, was one of the leading members of the Bee Hive society that was the founder of the original library association. At the time the society went out of existence the books were turned over to the borough of Willimantic and afterward accepted by the city, being the foundation of the present good library.

Violated Liquor Laws—Three Separate Counts Against John McQuillan—Heavy Fines Imposed—Criminal Appeal.
Saturday morning the local court room was crowded by people interested in the arraignment of John McQuillan, charged with violating the liquor laws, on three separate counts, and also with attempting to bribe the state's witness, on the same afternoon. Attorney Thomas J. Kelley, who represented McQuillan, entered a demurrer to all charges, but was overruled by Judge William A. Arnold, who found the accused guilty.

On each of the counts, of selling liquor on Sunday a fine of \$50 and costs was imposed, and on the count of selling a witness a fine of \$100 was imposed. Attorney Kelley took an appeal to the superior court, and bonds of \$100 were fixed for the count of selling liquor, and of \$300 for bribing a witness. It found guilty in the superior court, the case will probably mean the forfeiture of Mr. McQuillan's license, and the state's witness, as it was alleged in the complaint that McQuillan had been previously convicted of violating the liquor law on May 26, 1902.

Attorney Kelley waived the reading of the complaints on the violation of the liquor law, which alleged two separate sales of a pint of whiskey on Sunday afternoon, Feb. 26, to one Wassel Gemborski, and a sale of a pint of whiskey on the same afternoon to one Max Tyniak, and at once entered a demurrer. In the demurrer he took exception to the second count of the complaint, alleging that it was identical with the first count and no other. He also took exception to the part of the complaint alleging subsequent conviction on May 26, 1902, saying that it was made before the passage of the statute law providing for subsequent convictions and previous to this license year, and therefore should not apply.

Claimed Only One Count.
Attorney Kelley claimed that only one count should prevail, as the complaint set up two separate offenses, whereas there was only one. In regard to the second exception, he said that there was no objection with regard to a subsequent conviction. In July, 1902, the law was passed, and what was not an offense in 1902 could hardly be made an offense in 1903, as the statute could not be made retrospective and therefore should not apply to the present case. Secondly, there should be restrictions of some sort as to subsequent convictions, there should be a limitation of time as to the effect of subsequent convictions. The statute in providing for a suitable person to be granted a liquor license provides that the license must not be granted to a person who has been convicted of a violation within a year previous to the time he applied for the license. Surely, then, a subsequent conviction could only apply to the license year of the year before, therefore he could not see how the court could do other than recommend a non-conviction of the license.

Judge Arnold inquired of Mr. Kelley if, according to his argument, a man could not violate the law every two years and still be eligible for a license. Mr. Kelley explained that he was simply giving the requirements of the statute, a suitable person to be granted a license.

Not Put to Plea.
As the object of the demurrer was to take the case to the higher court, Mr. McQuillan was not put to plea, and Mr. Gemborski simply explained the case, saying that a young man went to the saloon and bought a pint of whiskey from Mr. McQuillan, and that later, about 4 o'clock, the two of them again went to the saloon and each bought a pint of whiskey from Mr. McQuillan. Regarding the penalty, he realized that the court would impose a proper penalty, but the prosecuting agent was of the opinion that a stiff penalty should be imposed.

Attorney Kelley urged the leniency of the court. He pointed out that it was nearly nine years since Mr. McQuillan had been in some particular during the year, although he might not have been before the court. He therefore felt that the fine should not be a severe one.

Had Had Many Complaints.
Judge Arnold inquired of Prosecuting Agent Addison J. Greenleaf as to whether he had had many complaints before this one concerning Mr. McQuillan, and he replied that he had many every year. He said that the court was taking into consideration these statements, and Mr. Greenleaf asked permission to say a few words. The court granted and he spoke of the number of complaints he received since 1902. Any impairment by the court would be satisfactory to him, but he thought that the crime of selling liquor to young men hardly 21 years of age, should be a crime, and he was allowed to sell on the other six days of the week, was one that did not merit a light fine.

Fines and Costs About \$200.
Judge Arnold, after imposing the penalty the court had taken into consideration that Mr. McQuillan's bond and license would be forfeited if he was convicted of a crime in the superior court, and therefore he imposed a fine of \$50 and costs on each count. This amounted to about \$200. Attorney Kelley gave notice of an appeal to the superior court and bonds were fixed at \$100. Attorney Gemborski called attention to the fact that Mr. McQuillan had already furnished a bond to the court, and that he would probably be in court.

Alleged Bribing of Witness.
The second complaint against Mr. McQuillan, alleging that he attempted to bribe a witness to wit, Wassel Gemborski, was then taken up. Attorney Kelley entered a formal demurrer which was overruled by the court.

Judge Arnold imposed a fine of \$100 and costs and Mr. Kelley gave notice of an appeal to the superior court. Bonds were fixed at \$300 in the case. It is understood that McQuillan met Gemborski and gave him \$50 to go out of town and that he did so for a short time.

Dr. J. G. JACKSON—Dentist
Painless Extracting and
Filling a Specialty
20 Main Street, Willimantic
Telephone 400-0000.

A nice variety of Fresh Fish; also
scallops, Oysters and Clams, etc.
STROUD'S FISH MARKET, 20 North St.

WILLIMANTIC

What is Going On Tonight.
The Willimantic public library has recently received a gift of a box of books from the private library of Miss Harriet Brainerd of Hartford. Miss Brainerd, a former resident of Willimantic, was one of the leading members of the Bee Hive society that was the founder of the original library association. At the time the society went out of existence the books were turned over to the borough of Willimantic and afterward accepted by the city, being the foundation of the present good library.

Gift of Books from Miss Brainerd.
The Willimantic public library has recently received a gift of a box of books from the private library of Miss Harriet Brainerd of Hartford. Miss Brainerd, a former resident of Willimantic, was one of the leading members of the Bee Hive society that was the founder of the original library association. At the time the society went out of existence the books were turned over to the borough of Willimantic and afterward accepted by the city, being the foundation of the present good library.

Violated Liquor Laws—Three Separate Counts Against John McQuillan—Heavy Fines Imposed—Criminal Appeal.
Saturday morning the local court room was crowded by people interested in the arraignment of John McQuillan, charged with violating the liquor laws, on three separate counts, and also with attempting to bribe the state's witness, on the same afternoon. Attorney Thomas J. Kelley, who represented McQuillan, entered a demurrer to all charges, but was overruled by Judge William A. Arnold, who found the accused guilty.

On each of the counts, of selling liquor on Sunday a fine of \$50 and costs was imposed, and on the count of selling a witness a fine of \$100 was imposed. Attorney Kelley took an appeal to the superior court, and bonds of \$100 were fixed for the count of selling liquor, and of \$300 for bribing a witness. It found guilty in the superior court, the case will probably mean the forfeiture of Mr. McQuillan's license, and the state's witness, as it was alleged in the complaint that McQuillan had been previously convicted of violating the liquor law on May 26, 1902.

Attorney Kelley waived the reading of the complaints on the violation of the liquor law, which alleged two separate sales of a pint of whiskey on Sunday afternoon, Feb. 26, to one Wassel Gemborski, and a sale of a pint of whiskey on the same afternoon to one Max Tyniak, and at once entered a demurrer. In the demurrer he took exception to the second count of the complaint, alleging that it was identical with the first count and no other. He also took exception to the part of the complaint alleging subsequent conviction on May 26, 1902, saying that it was made before the passage of the statute law providing for subsequent convictions and previous to this license year, and therefore should not apply.

Claimed Only One Count.
Attorney Kelley claimed that only one count should prevail, as the complaint set up two separate offenses, whereas there was only one. In regard to the second exception, he said that there was no objection with regard to a subsequent conviction. In July, 1902, the law was passed, and what was not an offense in 1902 could hardly be made an offense in 1903, as the statute could not be made retrospective and therefore should not apply to the present case. Secondly, there should be restrictions of some sort as to subsequent convictions, there should be a limitation of time as to the effect of subsequent convictions. The statute in providing for a suitable person to be granted a liquor license provides that the license must not be granted to a person who has been convicted of a violation within a year previous to the time he applied for the license. Surely, then, a subsequent conviction could only apply to the license year of the year before, therefore he could not see how the court could do other than recommend a non-conviction of the license.

Judge Arnold inquired of Mr. Kelley if, according to his argument, a man could not violate the law every two years and still be eligible for a license. Mr. Kelley explained that he was simply giving the requirements of the statute, a suitable person to be granted a license.

Not Put to Plea.
As the object of the demurrer was to take the case to the higher court, Mr. McQuillan was not put to plea, and Mr. Gemborski simply explained the case, saying that a young man went to the saloon and bought a pint of whiskey from Mr. McQuillan, and that later, about 4 o'clock, the two of them again went to the saloon and each bought a pint of whiskey from Mr. McQuillan. Regarding the penalty, he realized that the court would impose a proper penalty, but the prosecuting agent was of the opinion that a stiff penalty should be imposed.

Attorney Kelley urged the leniency of the court. He pointed out that it was nearly nine years since Mr. McQuillan had been in some particular during the year, although he might not have been before the court. He therefore felt that the fine should not be a severe one.

Had Had Many Complaints.
Judge Arnold inquired of Prosecuting Agent Addison J. Greenleaf as to whether he had had many complaints before this one concerning Mr. McQuillan, and he replied that he had many every year. He said that the court was taking into consideration these statements, and Mr. Greenleaf asked permission to say a few words. The court granted and he spoke of the number of complaints he received since 1902. Any impairment by the court would be satisfactory to him, but he thought that the crime of selling liquor to young men hardly 21 years of age, should be a crime, and he was allowed to sell on the other six days of the week, was one that did not merit a light fine.

Fines and Costs About \$200.
Judge Arnold, after imposing the penalty the court had taken into consideration that Mr. McQuillan's bond and license would be forfeited if he was convicted of a crime in the superior court, and therefore he imposed a fine of \$50 and costs on each count. This amounted to about \$200. Attorney Kelley gave notice of an appeal to the superior court and bonds were fixed at \$100. Attorney Gemborski called attention to the fact that Mr. McQuillan had already furnished a bond to the court, and that he would probably be in court.

Alleged Bribing of Witness.
The second complaint against Mr. McQuillan, alleging that he attempted to bribe a witness to wit, Wassel Gemborski, was then taken up. Attorney Kelley entered a formal demurrer which was overruled by the court.

Judge Arnold imposed a fine of \$100 and costs and Mr. Kelley gave notice of an appeal to the superior court. Bonds were fixed at \$300 in the case. It is understood that McQuillan met Gemborski and gave him \$50 to go out of town and that he did so for a short time.

Dr. J. G. JACKSON—Dentist
Painless Extracting and
Filling a Specialty
20 Main Street, Willimantic